EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL DOCKETS

IN REPLY REFER TO:

TOLER MAN

FFB 2 5 1993

Honorable Phil Gramm United States Senator 2323 Bryan Street, Suite 1500 Dallas, TX 75201

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear Senator Gramm:

Thank you for your letter on behalf of Dan Mooney, Councilmember, City of Denison, Texas. Your constituent submits comments in MM Docket 92-266 concerning cable television rates.

Your constituent's letter will be placed in the record of this proceeding so that the Commission can be mindful of his concerns during its deliberations.

Sincerely.

Chief, Mass Media Bureau

Enclosures

Congressional

CONGRESSIONAL CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING SYSTEM 02/08/93

LETTER REPORT

CONTROL NO.	DATE RECEIVED	DATE OF CORRESP	DATE DUE DATE DUE	OLA(857)
9300431	02/08/93	02/02/93	02/19/93	
TITLE	MEMBERS	NAME	REPLY FOR SIG OF	
Senator	Phil Gram	m	BC	
CONSTITUENT'S NAME			JBJECT	
Dan Mooney inq. re: rate regulation & 92 Cable Act				
REF TO	REF TO	REF TO	REF TO	
ММВ	PAR	Ent	 S	
DATE	DATE	DATE	DATE	
02/08/93	2=8-9	7 💆		

REMARKS: reply atn: Georg'a Brown of the Dallas, TX office

United States Senate MMB (att)

MEMORANDUM

Date: 2/2/95

Federal Communications Commission Office of Congressional Affairs 1919 "M" Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

A constituent has sent the enclosed communication. A response which addressed his/her concerns would be appreciated.

Please send you response, together with the constituent's correspondence, to the following address:

Office of Senator Phil Gramm 2323 Bryan Street, Suite 1500 Dallas, Texas 75201

Attention: Georg'a Brown



CITY OF DENISON

108 West Main Street P.O. Box 347 Denison, Texas 75021-0347 (903) 465-2720

January 25, 1993

Via Federal Express

Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: MM Docket 92-266

To The Commission:

In response to the Federal Communications Commission solicitation for comments contained in the Commissioner's Notice of Proposed Rule Making adopted December 10, 1992, please accept these comments. I am currently a duly elected City Councilmember of the City of Denison, Texas, and I believe that the City Council will opt to seek certification to regulate the rates of its cable television franchisee, Post-Newsweek Cable Company.

At II.A.IV, NPRM you state the basic question, "Whether the purpose and terms of the Cable Act embody a congressional intent that our rules produce rates generally lower than those in effect when the Cable Act of 1992 was enacted (and if so, to what degree), or, rather a congressional intent that regulatory standards serve primarily as a check on prospective rate increase?" Given the widespread programming changes in the form of retiering cable services and rate increases occurring now before the Commission promulgates its rules, the only congressional intent that can now be served is the second part of your query.

The consumer protection interests which were to be served by the Act (as reflected in its title) are being frustrated, if not eliminated, by the practice of cable companies such as Post-Newsweek. Furthermore, when such cable companies restructure their programming so as to eliminate from the basic service (for which the local regulatory authority negotiated through the franchise) everything but "over the air" channels and public access, there is very little remaining over which the local authority can regulate.

Federal Communications Communication January 25, 1992 Page 2

Additionally, the Commission's proposed "benchmark" method for basic rate control is not sound. Ratemaking should be based on the cost of providing the service which varies from state to state, region to region. Benchmarking will only serve to increase costs for those areas and municipalities that, even during deregulation, remained diligent in monitoring the service provider and insisting upon restraint in rate increases.

Finally, I urge the FCC to require that every provider of cable service return to the status quo of October, 1992 to the extent that the provider used the 180 days from enactment to promulgation of the rules to evade the regulatory effects of the Act and its rules. Any other result is to reward those who seek to evade the Act.

Respectfully submitted,

Dan Mooney
Councilmember
Place 5

DM/nj

cc: Hon. Al Gore, Vice President

Hon. Phil Gramm / Hon. Bob Krueger Hon. Ralph Hall

Hon. Ben Munson, Mayor City Council Members

Mr. Larry Cruise, City Manager

Mr. Bruce Abbott, Post-Newsweek Cable Mr. Harvey Boyd, Post-Newsweek Cable